Page 76 1 History" there, sir? 2 Α Yes. I'd like you to look at the typing that fills this . 3 O in this section and tell me whether or not that's accurate? 4 5 Α You mean 07-08 ordered? 6 0 Uh-huh. 7 · A I don't know what it means. 8 Okay. And then under remarks it says, "see attached remarks overflow," do you know if that's accurate? 9 10 Ά I don't know. I mean, is there an attached 11 overflow? 12 Q I don't know what you know about the application, 13 sir. 14 Α I don't know anything about it so I No. 15 didn't have anything to do with it. 16 Q Before today have you ever looked at any of these 17 insurance application documents? 18 Α No. 19 I'd like to talk about your relationship with your accountants. When I say you, I'm talking about The Hammocks. 20 21 What accounting firm do you currently use? 22 It's kind of -- last year we were trying to get a Α loan to get things refinanced and the bank required us to do a 23 forensic audit on the books since we bought the property. 24 hired a company that they recommended to come in and do that and 25

1	
	Page 77
1	then since they had all the material available at that time they
2	did our taxes for that year. This was for '07.
3	Q What company is that?
4	A I can't remember their names.
5	Q Do you want to look at the documents you've
6	produced?
7	A Yeah. It's there.
8	Q They're in those boxes.
9	A Huh?
10	Q They're in those boxes.
11	A I know I've given it to you but I don't remember
12	their name.
13	Q Do you remember the accountant's name?
14	A One of them's name was David,
15	MR. PAYNE: It says David Worley.
16	THE WITNESS: Worley. Yeah.
1.7	A And then there's a gal in his office that actually
18	did the work. I've met with her several times when she was
19	doing that but I don't remember her name at this point.
20	Q When you say they did your tax, are you talking
21	about 2008 taxes?
22	A 2007 taxes.
23	Q That you filed in
24	A We got an extension for this year for last year and
25	they're going to do it for this year if it's approved by the

		Page 78
1	bankruptcy	court.
2	Q	For fiscal year 2007 and the tax returns to be filed
3	in 2008, do	you understand what I mean by that?
4	A	I do.
5	Q _.	Is that the year that David Worley did the tax
6	returns?	
7	A	2007.
8	Q	Yeah. Were they filed in 2008?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Is he preparing the 2008 returns?
11	A	If it's approved by the bankruptcy court.
12	Q	But he's prepared them already, right?
13	A	Not that I know of.
14	Q	The bankruptcy court has to approve him preparing
15	them?	
16	A	The last I heard it hadn't approved it.
17	Q	Okay. Does he have all the work records that he'll
18	need to pre	epare the tax returns?
19	A	As far as I know they've been provided.
20	Q	Who was the accountant before David Worley?
21	A	Caldwell Baker Law Firm in Chattanooga has done our
22	taxes the p	previous two years or previous years for The Hammocks
23	for a number	er of years.
24	Q	Is that the law firm that Virginia Love works at?
25	A	Right.

Down 70
Page 79 1 Q And the law firms handle your tax returns?
2 A They have an accountant in the tax division.
3 Q Virginia Love is your sister, right?
4 A Right.
5 Q And she has an ownership interest in The Hammocks?
6 A She's the majority owner.
7 Q What's her majority?
8 A I don't know. I provided you with percentages, it's
9 over 50 percent.
10 Q I know you've provided me with the documents, I'd
11 like you to look at the documents and tell me what her percent
12 ownership is?
13 · A I don't know.
MR. PAYNE: Do you want to move this map?
MR. NELSON: Yeah, let's do that.
16 THE WITNESS: It would be based on the questions.
17 It could be under 33. I don't know where that would be. Or 34
18 it could be there too.
MR. PAYNE: These are just Bates stamped. Let's see
20 here we go. Lake Norman.
21 MR. NELSON: While you guys are looking for that,
22 I'm going to use the men's room.
23 (Discussion off the record.)
24 Q Dr. Gray, we've all been rooting around trying to
25 find some documents so the documents you and Attorney Payne have
20 21.0 20.0 000

	Page 80
1	directed me to is 1021 and 1022 of The Hammocks production. Can
2	you tell me what these documents are?
3	A This was the last amendment of the ownership of The
4	Hammocks.
5	MR. PAYNE: Can we go off the record a second?
6 ·	MR. NELSON: Sure.
7	(Discussion off the record.)
8	Q So you said this was the last version 1021, correct?
9	A Right.
10	Q Of The Hammocks operating agreement?
11 .	A Right.
12	Q And this says fifth so I'm assuming that there's
13	been five prior?
14	A Right. Yes.
15	Q Okay. Dr. Gray, you gotta let me finish my
16	question, okay. I'm not trying to fuss with you. Humans do
17	this all the time when they communicate but it makes the record
18	real hard, okay. So there were four amendments prior to this
19	amendment and the original, correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Did you produce the other five versions of the
22	operating agreement?
23	A Here's the first one
24	MR. NELSON: Let's go off the record.
25	THE WITNESS: The second one.
L	

	Page 81
1	MR. NELSON: Okay. Let's go off the record.
2	(Discussion off the record.)
3	Q Bates stamp numbers just give me the first and last
4	of those.
5	MR. PAYNE: The first one starts at 1008.
6	A Then the last one is the fifth one which is 1029.
7	MR. PAYNE: It's 1023, Dr. Gray.
8	THE WITNESS: I mean it's 1023. Yes.
9	Q So, Dr. Gray, feel free to look at those documents
10	if you want. I'd like you to tell me in your own words how the
11	ownership of The Hammocks started when The Hammocks was first
12	created to the point where it is capsulated in the fifth amended
13	version?
14	A The Hammocks started with a partnership out of
15	Charleston, South Carolina and we sold a piece of property down
16	there.
17	Q What was the name of that partnership?
18	A The Hammocks.
19	MR. PAYNE: I think when he's saying partnership he
20	means LLC. And I'm just saying this not to stick my nose in but
21	to clarify.
22	Q I understand.
23	A And when that property was sold it was traded into
24	the Richmond Hill Inn. Our partner who is a developer wanted to
25	develop some land for some other projects and we looked

-	Page 82
1	throughout Charleston and the Charlotte area and the coastal
2	South Carolina to see what we could find and never could find
.3	anything and then we found the inn with 40 acres that are
4	developable. And so he wanted us to and I wanted to buy it
5	thinking it was a very good project.
6	Q And I want to go back and clarify a few things. Can
7	you just stay with me for a minute, Dr. Gray. So there was a
8	piece of property in Charleston, South Carolina that you sold?
.9	A Right.
10	Q And that property was owned by The Hammocks, LLC?
11	A Right.
12	Q Who were the members at that time of The Hammocks,
13	LLC?
14	A Initially the two guys that sued each other and then
15	ended up dropping out were members.
16	MR. PAYNE: What's their names?
17	THE WITNESS: Gene Vaughn and Roger Frost.
18	Q Let me ask you some questions along the way. Let's
19	go back to square one. When The Hammocks, LLC was first
20	created, who were the original members?
21	A Roger Frost, Gene Vaughn, Virginia Love, myself,
22	Mary Catherine Love, Mary Horton, and Skip Frieburg.
23	Q When that organization was first created, was it
24	around the idea of owning some property?
25	A No. It was around the idea of us starting and

		D 02
1	developing :	Page 83 another retirement community like we had in
2	Mooresville	
3	Q	So it was just an idea of let's start this LLC and
4		ome land to develop for a retirement community?
5	A	Uh-huh.
6		
7	Q A	Is that a yes? Yes.
8	Q	And the first version of that document is Bates
9	stamped what	
10	A	Based what?
11	Q	The first version of that document is Bates stamped
12	what?	
13		MR. PAYNE: Are you talking about the first
	amendment?	
15		MR. NELSON: No, the first original.
16	Because you	got the first amendment as 108.
17		MR. PAYNE: Right. 1008.
18	А	The operating agreement is 974 in the front part of
19	all this.	
20	Q	Hold on for a second, Dr. Gray, let me catch up with
21	you.	
22	Α	937 is where you need to start.
23	Q	Where do the names appear? Is it Exhibit B to the
24	operating ag	greement 972?
25	A	That was, yeah, one of them.
L		

r	 	
	,	Page 84
1	Q	Okay. When you say "one of them," is there another
2	one?	
3	A	No, I guess that's it.
4	Q	Now you referred to somebody here as the developer,
5	who would t	hat have been?
6	A	On that particular project Roger Frost and James
7	Sloggart we	re going to do the development.
8	Q	What was the project called?
9	A	The Hammocks.
10	Q	And that was located where?
11	A	In Mount Pleasant, Charleston, South Carolina.
12	Charleston	County.
13	Q	Did that project ever come to fruition?
14	À	Nope. I bought the land and had it rezoned and
15	planned and	got all the approvals and everything for it and
16.	Roger Frost	had dropped out by then and Jim Sloggart never
17	developed i	t so I sold it.
18	Q	Who bought the land?
19	A	I did.
20		MR. PAYNE: Are you asking who bought it at the sale
21	after The H	ammocks acquired it?
22		MR. NELSON: I'll clarify the question. Thank you.
23	Q	When the land was first purchased which person or
24	which group	purchased it?
25	A	The Hammocks purchased it but I was the one who was
-		

-		Page 85
1	buying it.	
2	Q	But The Hammocks took ownership of that land?
3	A	Right.
4	Q	Was it raw?
5	A	Raw land in a sub development.
6	Q	How many acres was that?
7	A	40 acres.
8	. Q	In Charleston?
9	A	Mount Pleasant.
10	Q	But is that Charleston?
11	A	Charleston County.
12	Q	The land was rezoned?
1.3	A	Yes.
14	Q	How come it was never developed?
15	А	Cause my partner didn't develop it.
16	Q	When you say "my partner," which are you referring
17	to?	
18	A	Jim Sloggart.
19	. Q	Does The Hammocks still own that land?
20	A	No, we sold it, traded it into Richmond Hill.
21	Q	Why did Sloggart never develop it?
22	A	You'd have to ask him. I do not know.
23	Q	You have no idea?
24	A	Just like he didn't develop the one up here. We
25	were the i	nvestors basically and he was the developer.

		Page 86
1	Q	When was the land first purchased by The Hammocks?
2	A	In Mount Pleasant?
3	Q	Yeah.
4	A	2002 or '03, I don't remember which.
5	Q	When was it sold?
6	A	2005.
7	Q	How much did you sell the land for?
8	A	I don't remember. We cleared 2 million plus.
9	Q	And when you sold the land it wasn't developed in
-10	any fashion	?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Did the assets from the sale remain with The
13	Hammocks?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you said that Frost dropped out?
16	A	Except for several people we paid their share off
17	that had mi	nor parts of it and they didn't want to proceed.
1.8	Q	Was there a profit made on that sale?
19	A	Close to \$2 million.
20	Q	Well, if you sold it for 2 million plus
21	A	The profit was that
22	Q	Netted 2 million. How much was the purchase price?
23	A	I don't really remember. I know I lent the money to
24	the thing a	nd then got the money back afterwards.
25	Q	So it was a \$2 million profit from the sale which
<u> </u>		

	-	Page 87
1	had to be	shared by all the members of the LLC according to the
2	percentages	s of ownership?
3	А	Right.
4	Q	So the people that remained inside The Hammocks
5	let me fin:	ish my question left their assets inside The
6	Hammocks?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	So Frost drops out?
9	. A	Yeah.
10	Q	Frieburg drops out?
11	Α	Right.
12	Q	Mary Horton remain in?
13	Α	Dropped out.
14	Q .	Mary Mullins is your sister, right?
15	A	Stayed in. Yeah.
16	Q	Lake Norman Pavilion stay in?
17	А	Stayed in.
18	· Q	Virginia Love stayed in?
19	A	Right.
20	Q	Jim Sloggart individually stayed in?
21	A	Right.
22	Q	Please go to page 972 and then 973. Sloggart is
23	listed them	re twice, do you know why?
24	A	No, I don't.
25	Q	Who is the law firm that drew up this agreement?

•	Page 88
1	A I don't remember.
2	Q So then after the Mount Pleasant project didn't pan
3	out is that when you turned your sights on the Richmond Hill Inn
4	property?
5	A Right. After we sold that.
. 6	Q When you use "that," that's where it gets confusing.
7	A The project in Mount Pleasant then we looked for
8	another property because Jim Sloggart said he would develop
9	another project and really wanted to do that instead of
10	splitting the partnership up.
11	. Q Okay.
12	A And that's when after looking for a good while we
1.3	found Richmond Hill Inn and the adjacent land and we decided to
14	buy that.
15	MR. PAYNE: Can we go off record a second here?
16	MR. NELSON: Yeah.
17	(Discussion off the record.)
18	Q So according to the original operating agreement the
19	attorney who drew up the operating agreement was Timothy
20	Gavigan, it that correct?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q Why did the operating agreement become amended the
23	very first time, so we're looking at this very first amendment?
24	A Because when we bought Richmond Hill property, Jim
25	Sloggart wanted to trade some money into it and so he wanted a
[

	Page 89
1	bigger interest so that was the initial the first amendment
2	was after the close of the other property before we bought the
3	inn. We only had one other property and that was Mount Pleasant
4	property. This was the amendment after we sold that.
5	Q Okay. So can I take from your answer, Dr. Gray,
6	that the first amendment occurs because you sold the Mount
7	Pleasant property, the members have changed along the lines
8	we've already discussed and then Jim Sloggart wants to put a
9	bigger financial stake into this?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q And is this the agreement that was in operation at
12	the time that the Richmond Hill Inn was purchased from the
13	Michels?
14	A The second one. I believe it was the second one.
15	MR. PAYNE: Don't guess. I don't want you guessing,
16	look at the dates.
17	THE WITNESS: This was the one after the sale.
18	Q When you use "this is," that's not going to work?
19	A Okay. You ask the questions.
20	Q I know. So what operating agreement was in
21	existence at the time Richmond Hill Inn was purchased?
22	A The second.
23	MR. PAYNE: What was the purchase date?
24	THE WITNESS: The 15th.
25	MR. PAYNE: Okay. Then the third is in effect.

	Page 90
1	Hang on.
2	Q Do you want to change your answer?
3	A Yes, please. The third was in effect. They're all
4	signed at the same time. I believe the third one was in effect.
5	Q What do you mean they were all signed at the same
6	time?
7	A They're all basically they had been prepared
8	previously and we couldn't get everybody together to sign them
9 .	and so finally I got them all together and people signed them at
10	different times but finally they were all finished basically at
11	the same time.
12	Q Well, actually the third and the second seem to
13	differ in the third talks about added capital of \$56,000.
14	A Right.
15	MR. PAYNE: I think what he's saying is the
16	effective dates of each of those are within 4 to 5 days of one
17	another.
18	Q So I want you to tell me about why there was a
19	second agreement and why there was a third agreement. We
20	already talked about the second was created because we just went
21	through three reasons. Why the third agreement?
22	Λ The third agreement is what it was at the time of
23	getting ready to close on Richmond Hill Inn.
24	Q But why did the agreement change from the second to
25	the third?
- 0042103110121F2	

1	Page 91 A Because Mr. Sloggart wanted to put some more money
2	into it.
3	
	Q Is that the only basis for the change?
4	A As far as I know, yeah.
5	Q Why was the fourth amendment to the operating
6	agreement created?
7	: A Because Mr. Sloggart wouldn't put the money in that
8	we were having to put into the inn and so the other partners
9	were contributing money and so for tax purposes we did an
10	amendment to reflect the ownership.
11	Q So on the fourth operating amendment it refers to
12	added capital of \$10,000 by Sloggart?
13	A Yeah.
14	Q That means he contributed an additional 10,000?
1.5	A Right.
16	Q And then it refers to on the next page \$2,832,883 by
17	Lake Norman Pavilion was that the contribution?
18	A Right from Lake Norman. Another contribution from
19	Lake Norman Pavilion.
20	Q So the 10,000 plus the 2.8 are contributions made to
21	The Hammocks in order to support the Richmond Hill Inn?
22	A Two million of it went to the Michels to pay off
23	principle and then the other 800,000 went to support the inn.
24	Q Was that just operating revenues then?
25	A To cover operating revenues.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 92
1	Q Was it \$2 million even that went to the Michels?
2	A Basically, yes. A 100,000 had gone to them earlier
3	and then a million nine but it was all lumped in together so.
4	Q So can I take from that answer, sir, that if you add
5	the 2.832 Lake Norman contribution with the \$10,000 that
6	Sloggart put in an additional and then you subtract out 1.9 that
7	went to the Michels the remainder of that went to the operating
8	capital for The Hammocks to run the Richmond Hill Inn?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Why was the fifth amendment created to the operating
1.1	agreement?
12	A Because Mr. Sloggart still wasn't willing to put his
13	share of the contributions in.
14	Q What do you mean by contributions?
15	A Well, as we needed money to support the inn we
16	contributed money to it and so it was left for my sister, Lake
17	Norman Pavilion or for me to come up with the money to put in.
1.8	Q Looks like you contributed 75,000 at that time?
19	A Yeah.
20	Q And that Sloggart contributed 50,000?
21	A Yeah. Prior to that, yeah.
22	Q What do you mean prior to that?
23	A Prior to this amendment he had contributed that.
24	Q So somewhere between the fourth and fifth amendment
25	he contributed an additional \$50,000?
	·

TEL: (336) 998-9551 FAX: (336) 998-9568

		Page 93
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And then Virginia Love contributed \$100,000?
3	A	Right.
4	Q	In addition to these documents that talk about the
5	operating a	greements, have there been any other documents
6	created off	icially by The Hammocks, LLC or any of the members or
7	people that	service The Hammocks that talk about these
8	transaction	s?
9	A	Not that I know of.
10	Q	Any minutes of the meetings?
11	A	We don't do that.
12	Q	Do you meet regularly as an organization?
13	A	No.
14	Q	When is the last time that all current members of
15	The Hammock	s met to talk about business either by telephone or
16	in person?	
17	A	We had a phone conversation, a conference call with
18	David Gray	back in September of '08, I believe it was.
19	Q	Okay. Was anybody with David Gray when that call
20	was made?	
21	A	Myself.
22	Q.	You were in his office?
23	A	Right.
24	Q	And I'm not asking you to tell me what was discussed
25	there excep	t for the purpose of the meeting was to talk about

	Page 94
1	bankruptcy issues?
2	A Bankruptcy and how to go about doing it and what we
3	needed to do.
4	Q When you say "needed to do," we're talking about
5	needed to do in order to go into bankruptcy?
6	A Right.
7	Q Was there a vote taken on the phone call?
8	A No.
9	Q Did the organization The Hammocks ever have any
10	formal vote that
11	A No.
12	Q Hold on, Dr. Gray.
13	MR. PAYNE: Let him finish.
14	Q Did The Hammocks, LLC ever have a formal vote of its
15	members to decide to go into bankruptcy?
16	A No.
17	Q Who made the decision to take it into bankruptcy
18	then?
19	A All of us. All the members.
20	Q Was it a unanimous agreement?
21	A Yes.
22	Q When did that unanimous agreement take place? In
23	the September phone call?
24	A Right.
25	Q Now, Dr. Gray, there's a reference to the bake

	Page 95
1	Norman Pavilion organization. I understand there's documents in
2	here that talk about ownership of Lake Norman Pavilion.
3	A Right.
4	Q And we'll get to those in a minute. But can you
5	tell me roughly when the Lake Norman Pavilion, LLC was created?
6	MR. PAYNE: Do you need to look at the documents?
7	A I would have to look at the documents. It's been a
8	long time.
9	MR. NELSON: Why don't we take a break while you do
10	that? It's 20 of 12:00. So why don't we take a break, we'll go
11	till about 12:30 and we'll take lunch. Okay.
12	(Discussion off the record.)
13	Q Dr. Gray, I was asking you to look for some
14	documents, did you find the documents?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What number?
17	A 3 3015 is the articles of organization.
18	Q I'm sorry, 3
19	A 3015.
20	Q Just give me a second to catch up with you, okay.
21 .	(Discussion off the record.)
22	Q Okay. So 3015. What was the reason for creating
23	the Lake Norman Pavilion, LLC, Dr. Gray?
24	A I was going to buy some land in Mooresville to
25	develop a retirement community.

	Page 96
1	Q Have you ever done any development of any kind?
2	A The retirement community.
3	Q So you did develop a retirement community? Where
4	was that?
5	A In Mooresville.
6	Q Is this related to Lake Norman Pavilion?
7	A Right.
8	Q I see a reference to the Village at Lake Norman and
9	I know you told me about producing those documents but if you'd
10	just tell me what that's about?
11	A The Village of Lake Norman was sort of the umbrella
12	entity that owned the assisted living. Lake Norman Pavilion
13	bought the land, rezoned it, got everything approved and done
14	and then informed the Village of Lake Norman along with those
15	other two guys that were partners for a while and they were
16	supposed to do the development because I'm really not a
17	developer. And then when they sued each other the judge kicked
18	them off the whole thing and we ended up owning it and I had to
19	finish the building and run it and do all the other development.
20	Q Can I take from your answer that all this took place
21	in Mooresville?
22	A Yes, it did:
23	Q And Lake Norman Pavilion took possession of whatever
24	residual there was from the Village at Lake Norman?
25	A It took possession of the Village at Lake Norman.

	Page 97
1	It didn't change the entity until it was dissolved.
ż	Q But the assets of the Village of Lake Norman, became
3	the assets of Lake Norman Pavilion?
4	A Right.
5	Q Did you have to pay these other two gentlemen that
6	fought with each other something to get ownership interest?
7	A No. Because we owned it all to begin with. They
8	had put no money in it.
9	Q When you say "we," are we talking about the members
10	of the Lake Norman Pavilion?
11	A Lake Norman Pavilion.
12	Q So the members of the Village of Lake Norman were
13	you and your two sisters and then these other two gentlemen?
14	A Initially. Yes, initially.
- 15	Q Does the Village of Lake Norman, LLC still exist?
16	A No, it does not.
17	Q And all the property and all the assets in the
-18	Village of Lake Norman, LLC became Lake Norman Pavilion, LLC.
19	A No, it was sold as the Village of Lake Norman. And
20	Lake Norman Pavilion was paid back money that it had put in to
21	do the development.
22	Q Was Lake Norman Pavilion by name part of the Village
23	of Lake Norman, LLC?
24	A Yes.
25.	Q Okay. So this agreement says the Lake Norman
100 400 0000	

		Page 98	
1	Pavilion, I	LC is created the 10th October 1996, does that sound	
2	accurate?		
3	A	Yes. It was filed on the 15th but I think it was	
4	created sl	ightly before that.	
5	Q	And at the time it was created there's really only	
6	two people	that have an ownership interest Virginia Love and	
7	Mary Mullin	ns. I'm looking at page 17, 3017.	
8	A	Right.	
9	Q	But you're listed as chief manager?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	What were your responsibilities as chief manager?	
12	A	To put all the money in to do it and run it.	
13	Q	What do you mean put all the money in?	
14	A	All the money except for that contribution to	
15	develop th	is project and buy the land came from me.	
16	Q	That's where it's a little unclear to me, Dr. Gray.	
17	All the co	All the consideration to form Lake Norman Pavilion is reflected	
18	as being L	ove and Mullins?	
19	A	That's right.	
20	Q	Where did your contribution come from?	
21	A	It's money I put in to buy the land and do the	
22	developmen	t.	
23	Q	After this agreement was signed?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	When was that?	
}			

		*/
		Page 99
1	A	Pretty much at the same time.
2	Q	Okay. So what's the second version of this
3	agreement t	hat reflects
4	A	We did some amendment somewhere along the line that
5	designated	the ownership of 49 percent mine, 49 percent my
6	sister's an	d 1 percent my other sister. Yeah. It says this
7	option here	
8	Q	What page are you on?
9	A	On 3056.
10	Q	Hold on. Let me catch up with you. Okay. I
11	stopped you	in the middle of your answer, Dr. Gray.
12	A	I forgot what it was. But this was an option that
13	we signed t	hat basically conveyed 49 1/2 percent of Lake Norman
14	Pavilion to	myself.
15	Q	Well, this gives you as I read this the option to
16	purchase 49	1/2 percent?
17	Α	Right.
18	Q	But when did you become invested in Lake Norman
19	Pavilion?	
20	Α	At that time as far as I know.
21	Q	So you pursued this option?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And is there a document that reflects you
24	А	I don't know. This is all I have.
25	Q	You have no document that reflects you now own part
		·

<u></u>		
	-	Page 100
1	of Lake No	cman Pavilion?
2	A	No, other than tax return filings.
3	Q	How much did you contribute?
4	Α	Several million dollars.
5	Q	Do you know how much that is?
6	A	No but I paid it back.
7	Q	What do you mean you paid it back?
8	A	When we sold the Village of Lake Norman I paid
9	myself back	a good part of what I put in.
10	Q	Okay. I'm a little confused.
11	А	I loaned the money to this entity and then when we
12	sold the Vi	llage of Lake Norman I paid some of that back and
13	then some o	of it I had loans rolled into what we gave the
14	Michels.	
15	Q .	Okay. So around this time October of 1996 you
16	gave	
17	. A	We bought some land for \$1,000,000.
18	Q	Where did you buy the land?
19	A	In Mooresville.
20	Q	Okay. And did you develop that land?
21	A	Yes, part of it.
22	Q	And is that what became the Village of Lake Norman?
23	Α	Some of it, yes.
24	Q	And then some that remained
25	A	Some of it we sold off to other entities to shopping
	·	

		Page 101
1-	centers.	
2	Q	Is it raw land?
3	A	Raw land. Zoned but raw land.
4	Q	When you purchased it was it raw land?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	How many acres?
7	A	Put together the number of parcels it was about 72
8 -	acres, I th	nink.
9	Q	So you develop some of it, you sold some Of it off?
10	A	Right.
11	Q	And then you eventually sold what you developed?
12	A	Yes. We still owned some.
13	Q	And you still owned some acres?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q.	How many acres do you own?
16	A	Sixteen or 18 something like that.
17	Q	And are those held by Lake Norman Pavilion right
18	now?	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	So in addition to Lake Norman Pavilion having some
21	ownership i	nterest in The Hammocks, Lake Norman Pavilion also
22	owns some l	Land?
.23	Α	Yes.
24	Q.	And since Lake Norman Pavilion is part of The
25	Hammocks, I	LLC and The Hammocks, LLC actually has a land interest

	Page 102
1	that's held by Lake Norman Pavilion?
2	A No.
3	Q What part of that is incorrect?
4	A The Hammocks has no interest in anything the Lake
5	Norman Pavilion owns.
6	Q Okay. Lake Norman Pavilion has interest in The
7	Hammocks?
8	A In The Hammocks.
9	Q I had it backwards. How much money went into Lake
10	Norman Pavilion? And I think you told me you don't know but
11	let's make sure we get that answer. How much money did Lake
12	Norman Pavilion get from you?
13	A Over what period of time?
14	Q In total?
15	A I honestly don't know but it's in the numbers of
16	millions of dollars.
17	Q How many versions of the operating agreement are
18	there besides this original operating agreement for Lake Norman
19	Pavilion?
20	A I don't think there's any others.
21	Q But as far as you're concerned you own 49.5 percent
22	interest in Lake Norman Pavilion?
23	A Right.
24	Q I thought I saw a document that refers to your
25	splitting the ownership interest into class a and class b

TEL: (336) 998-9551 FAX: (336) 998-9568

•	Page 103
1	ownership for Lake Norman Pavilion?
. 2	A I don't know.
3	Q You don't know anything about that?
4	A (Witness nods head no.)
5	MR. PAYNE: Answer aloud.
6	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
7	Q You don't recall seeing any documents referred to a
8 .	retirement account of yours being somehow an asset or an
9	investment?
10	A No. I don't remember that. But some of the money
11	that we rely on was from a retirement account of mine. That may
12	have been something my sister prepared just to protect.
13	Q Is it possible that the Lake Norman Pavilion percent
1.4	of ownership is different than the financial interest of the
15	properties of Lake Norman Pavilion? I'm sorry. Not
16	properties. Do you want me to restate the question for you?
17	A In The Hammocks?
18	Q No. According to your own perspective you have a
19	49.5 percent ownership interest in Lake Norman Pavilion, is it
20	possible you have a greater financial interest than 49.5
21	percent?
22	A No. Not that I'm aware of.
23	Q By the way, there will probably be an accountant
24	coming in here who's going to sit through and make sure we
25	understand the financial aspects of all this and it will be
1	

	Page 104
- 1	around 12:30. So when he gets here let's take a break so we can
2	start fresh with that.
3	Have you had any discussions with anybody from the
4	White Agency since the loss?
5	A Since who?
6	Q The loss, the fire?
7	A Your agency?
8	Q The White Agency?
9	MR. PAYNE: White in Black Mountain.
10	A No, I haven't.
11	Q Anybody on behalf of The Hammocks, LLC as far as you
12	know?
1.3	A I think the lawyer did and my secretary has talked
14	to them.
15	Q Who's your secretary?
16	A I mean not secretary, Sarah McCullough has talked to
17	them.
18	Q At the time of the fire who were the key employees
19	of The Hammocks, LLC?
20	A The people who worked at the inn.
21	Q Can you tell me by name?
22	A No, not totally. But Susie Zimmerman was our
23	manager, Sarah McCullough was the financial bookkeeper, Cedric
24	Finch was the maintenance, Sharon Olson was the dining room
25	manager, Perry I can't remember his last name.

		Page 105
1	Q	Hendricks?
2	Α	Hendricks was the chef, Laura something or another
. 3	was the pag	stry chef. And then we had I don't know cause I
4	didn't hand	dle much of the managerial. There were six or eight
5	concierges	, reservation people.
6	Q	Those are people you'd consider to be key employees?
7	A	Yeah, they're all we had laid off all the people
. 8	that we did	dn't need to operate at that time.
9	Q	Who did Susie Zimmerman report to?
10	A	To me.
11	Q	Who did Sarah McCullough report to?
12	А	To me or to Susie. Mostly Susie.
13	Q	Who did Cedric Finch report to?
14	A	Everybody reported to Susie but me.
15	Q	Cedric Finch reported to her?
16	· A	Yeah.
17	Q	Sharon Olson reported to Zimmerman?
18	A	Yeah.
19	Q	Hendricks reported to Zimmerman?
20	A	Right.
21	Q	Laura reported to Zimmerman?
22	A	Right.
23	Q	And the concierges reported to Zimmerman?
24	A	Right. But at the same time everybody was pretty
25	autonomous	in taking care of their departments.

TEL: (336) 998-9551 FAX: (336) 998-9568

		Page 106
1	Q	I understand there were periodic management
2	meetings?	_ was a second second second for a second se
3	A ·	Yes, there were.
4	Q	How often would they occur?
5	». A	Every couple of months we would have one. We had
6	not had any	
7	noc nad any	Would you attend those?
1	A A	Usually yes.
8		
9	Q	Was anybody in charge of running those meetings?
10	A	I normally would have been.
11	Q	Now, I understand there was a meeting a few days
12		ire with the management folks?
13	A	Right.
14	Q	Who ran that meeting?
15	A	I did.
.16		Any documents handed out?
17	A	No.
18	Q	Did anybody quit at that meeting or shortly
19	thereafter?	
20	Α.	Yes, they did.
21	Q	Who is that?
22	A	The two chefs quit well, everybody in the kitchen
23	and the din	ing room service quit.
24	Q	When is the last time that you had a management
25	meeting?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		· ·

•	Page 107
1	A I don't know. I mean, I talk to Susie every day
2	that I'm up here.
3	Q But I'm talking about meetings where you bring the
4	management team together?
5	A She was the management team for the last three
6	months. Prior to that we had an assistant innkeeper and we
7	would meet at least once a month with her and Susie and myself.
8	Yeah.
9	Q I started off asking you about the different
10	accounting firms that had been used and we talked about the firm
11	that did the audit. Have you used any other accounting firms at
12	any point and when I say "you" meaning The Hammocks?
13	A Yes. When we first were trying to get refinancing
14	during the first year, we employed a firm to try to go through
15	the books and prepare a financial package for us to present to
16	the banks but they never finished it so.
17	Q Okay. Do you recall what the audit said or
18	concluded that was done by David Worley's company?
19	A That it was a failed business venture.
20	Q Did you provide a copy of that report to other
21	members of The Hammocks?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did you provide a copy to Sloggart?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And Love?

	<u>.</u>	
		Page 108
1	A	Right.
2	Q	And Mullins?
3	А	Not Mullins.
4	Q	Does Mary Mullins know that she's a member of The
5	Hammocks?	•
6	A٠	Yes, she does.
7	Q	At the time the organization was first created was
8	Mary Mullin	ns aware that she was listed having ownership
9	interest?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Were you surprised that the accountants came to the
12	conclusion	when they did the audit that the business was a
13	failed busi	iness?
14	A	Not at all. I knew that beforehand.
15	Q	When did you know it?
16	A	When I bought it.
17	Q	So you knew when you bought the business was a
18	failing bus	siness?
19	A	Right. We had to develop it to be successful.
20	Q	Did you have any sense of how much of a failing
21	business it	was when you purchased it?
22	A	No because the innkeeper at the time had gone
23	through all	their books and everything with me and showed how we
24	could save	a lot of money and that, you know, we could break
25	even and ma	ake money if all these different things were done and

	Page 109
1	I believed him. Then basically it turned out everything he said
2	or told us was a lie.
3	Q : Was that Robert Holland?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did he ever generate a report for you that said we
6	can take these steps to reduce expenses?
7	A Yes, he did.
8	Q Do you know where that report is today?
9	A No. Some of it may be in the various lawyers'
10	offices that we've used to sue him.
11	Q So he basically put together a plan to reduce
12	expenses?
13	A Right. Reduce expenses, he could cut labor costs by
14	30 percent and increase revenue by 10 or 15 percent. That the
15	Michels used the inn to write off a lot of personal expenses
16	eliminate that. And he guaranteed us really that within the
17	first year we would have \$1 million profit to pay down on the
18	mortgage and to help with the refinance.
19	Q Was that million dollars in the plan?
20	A In the what?
21	Q Did he refer to that million dollar figure in the
22	plan?
23	A No, he did not.
24	Q So that representation that you'd have \$1 million
25	worth of profit if you did these things was not stated in the
1	•

	Page 110
1	plan?
2	· A No, it was not.
3	Q Was there anything in the plan that said here's the
4	projection if you do these things?
5	A There was some. I don't really remember the details
6	of it. But certainly it wasn't \$1 million but it certainly
7	looked like we could at least break even which is what we wanted
8	to do until we had done the development and when we bought it we
9	had at least two years of reserves to support it.
10	Q When I hear that, Dr. Gray, what I'm hearing is that
11	you knew you were losing money and it was going to take you awhile
12	to turn it around and you had enough money in reserve to pick up
13	the shortfall?
14	A Right.
15	Q Do you know how much the reserve value was?
16	A I guess I had enough probably over \$1 million
17	that I could lend to the inn to keep it going.
18	Q Is that you personally?
19	A My pension plan.
20	Q So that million would have come out of your pension
21	plan?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Is that like a self-directed IRA?
24	A Right.
25	Q Do you still have \$1 million in your self-directed

1 IRA? 2 A No. 3 Q How much is in your self-directed IRA at this point A \$8,000. 5 Q How much was in there at the time of the fire? 6 A \$8,000. Or thereabouts. I don't know for sure. 7 Q Did you have any conversations with the Michels about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? 10 A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. 15 Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? 16 A Right. That was the plan. 18 Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? 2 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole		·
A No. How much is in your self-directed IRA at this point A \$8,000. Q How much was in there at the time of the fire? A \$8,000. Or thereabouts. I don't know for sure. Q Did you have any conversations with the Michels about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole		Page 111
4 A \$8,000. 5 Q How much is in your self-directed IRA at this point A \$8,000. 5 Q How much was in there at the time of the fire? 6 A \$8,000. Or thereabouts. I don't know for sure. 7 Q Did you have any conversations with the Michels about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? 10 A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands—on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. 15 Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? 16 A Right. That was the plan. 17 A Right. That was the plan. 18 Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? 20 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	1	IRA?
A \$8,000. Delta Mow much was in there at the time of the fire? A \$8,000. Or thereabouts. I don't know for sure. Did you have any conversations with the Michels about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands—on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Delta I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Delta And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	2	A No.
A \$8,000. Or thereabouts. I don't know for sure. Q Did you have any conversations with the Michels about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	3	Q How much is in your self-directed IRA at this point?
A \$8,000. Or thereabouts. I don't know for sure. Q Did you have any conversations with the Michels about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in the plan was also to develop on the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. A Right around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	4	A \$8,000.
about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	5	Q How much was in there at the time of the fire?
about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the property? 10 A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. 15 Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? 16 A Right. That was the plan. 18 Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? 20 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	6	A \$8,000. Or thereabouts. I don't know for sure.
property? A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	7	Q Did you have any conversations with the Michels
10 A No. You know, they said they had never been able to operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. 15 Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? 16 A Right. That was the plan. 18 Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? 18 Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	8	about how much the shortfall would be when you purchased the
operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	9	property?
indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in the parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	10	A No. You know, they said they had never been able to
management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	11	operate it for a profit except for one year. That they again
back expenses but they never really indicated how much. Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	12	indicated that they really weren't doing as much hands-on
15 Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop 16 the adjacent land? 17 A Right. That was the plan. 18 Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or 19 entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 20 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun 21 right? 22 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels 23 to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another 24 parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	13	management that they felt with appropriate care you could cut
the adjacent land? A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	14	back expenses but they never really indicated how much.
A Right. That was the plan. Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	15	Q I understand part of the plan was also to develop
Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun right? A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	16	the adjacent land?
entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun 12 right? 22 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels 23 to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another 24 parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	17	A Right. That was the plan.
20 11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that soun 21 right? 22 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels 23 to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another 24 parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	18	Q And I also understand that either The Hammocks or
21 right? 22 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels 23 to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another 24 parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	19	entities that you have some relationship with have ownership in
22 A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels 23 to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another 24 parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	20	11 parcels of land around the Richmond Hill Inn, does that sound
23 to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another 24 parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	21	right?
24 parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole	22	A Yes and no. The inn is made up of multiple parcels
	23	to begin with and then there's this extra land which is another
25 thing too that's another parcel and then there were three	24	parcel and then there's another land that's part of the whole
20 ching too and a different purcease and ones are chine	25	thing too that's another parcel and then there were three